

EXEMPLARY ADVANCED WORK FOR 1L AT THIS TIME IN THE COURSE- ON A JOB THE WORK WOULD NEED VERY LITTLE REVISION FOR A SUPERVISING ATTORNEY TO USE	COMPETENT PROFICIENT WORK FOR A 1L AT THIS TIME IN THE COURSE – ON A JOB THE WORK WOULD NEED TO BE REVISED WITH INPUT FROM SUPERVISION ATTY	DEVELOPING WORK NEEDS ADDITIONAL CONTENT OR SKILLSTO BE COMPETENT – ON A JOB, THE WORK WOULD NOT BE HELPFUL AND THE SUPERVISING ATTY WOULD NEED TO START OVER
Correctly joined and named all appropriate parties*	Missed one appropriate party (or did not correctly name a party) *	Missed more than one appropriate party and/or did not correctly name an appropriate party*
Correctly identified the proper court and signed complaint per R. 11	Correctly identified the proper court and signed complaint per R. 11	Did not correctly name the appropriate court or failed to sign per R. 11
Correctly pled the necessary facts to establish jurisdiction and i.d.'d the jurisdictional basis	Correctly pled the necessary facts to establish jurisdiction and i.d.'d the jurisdictional basis	Did not plead the necessary facts to establish jurisdiction and/or correctly i.d. jurisdictional basis
Identified all potentially viable claims against each party and did not have any claims that were not viable* (per Rule 11)	Identified all but one potentially viable claim agnst each party; no claims that were not potentially viable(per Rule 11)*	Did not identify two or more potentially viable claims and/or had claims that were not potentially viable (per Rule 11)*
Pled all necessary facts to support each element of each claim and did not plead immaterial facts	Pled most necessary facts to support each element of each claim and pled few, if any, immaterial facts	Didn't plead many facts nec'y to support each element of each claim and/or pled many immaterial or unnec'y facts
Pled all necessary elements of each potential claim	Missed 1 or 2 nec'y elements in pleading claims	Didn't plead a # of elements nec'y for each claim
Had sound/logical/tactical reasons why excluded parties/claims*	Had sound/logical/tactical reasons why excluded parties/claims	Failed to i.d. valid reason for excluding parties/claims or reasons weren't based upon sound legal/tactical grounds*
Clearly and logically organized and easy to follow; allegations were concise – whenever possible, allegations contained only one fact per allegation	Generally clearly and logically organized; allegations were generally concise	Claims and facts organized in a way that made it difficult to follow the story of what happened; allegations often contained multiple facts per allegation
No grammatical, typo. or spelling errors – presentation professional;	One or two grammatical, typo. or spelling errors – presentation	Numerous grammatical or spelling errors or other typo. Errors

* If you decide not to sue certain parties or file certain claims, briefly explain why in a short memo attached to the complaint